

From: TCAAllocations <TCAAllocations@jud.ca.gov>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 11:19 AM

To: JCC PJs - All Trial Courts <PJs-AllTrialCourts@jud.ca.gov>; JCC Court Execs - ALL Trial Courts <CourtExecs-ALLTrialCourts@jud.ca.gov>; JCC Budget Services Contacts (Trial Courts) <JCCBudgetServicesContactsTrialCourts@jud.ca.gov>

Cc: JC Snr Mgmt Team <JCSnrMgmtTeam@jud.ca.gov>; Theodorovic, Zlatko <Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov>; Mueller, Fran <Fran.Mueller@jud.ca.gov>; TCAAllocations <TCAAllocations@jud.ca.gov>

Subject: 2021-22 Judicial Council Allocations to Trial Courts

Presiding Judges, Court Executive Officers, and Fiscal Contacts:

At today's July business meeting, the Judicial Council approved 2021-22 Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF), State Court Facilities Construction Fund (SCFCF), and General Fund allocations to the trial courts.

Allocation Workbook

To assist courts with budgeting allocations, this year's allocation workbook includes two worksheets that display the trial court allocations and the Workload Formula allocations separately:

- The "TC Allocations" tab displays 2021-22 trial court base and non-base allocations and includes General Ledger numbers for each allocation. Columns R and S display the non-TCTF allocations. Please note the allocations displayed in column R were previously reported as distributed through the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (ICNA); however, the 2021 Budget Act combined the ICNA with the SCFCF.
- The "Workload Allocation" tab displays the 2021-22 Workload Allocation, the Workload Formula ("need"), and percentage of the Workload Allocation to the Workload Formula.

Also included is the 2021-22 Workload Formula which includes the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Resource Assessment Study, and Full-Time Equivalent need.

Judicial Council Reports

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee and Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee reports to the council provide helpful detail behind the allocations, and can be viewed by following the links below on the [California Courts website](#):

- [Trial Court Budget: Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund and Trial Court Allocations for 2021-22](#)
- [Trial Court Budget | Allocation Methodology of \\$72.2 Million Trial Court Funding in Governor's Proposed 2021-22 Budget](#)
- [Trial Court Budget: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Allocations for 2021-22](#)

- [Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2021-22 Allocation of Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel Funding](#)

The final reduction for fund balances above the 3 percent cap, based on courts' final 2020-21 year-end fund balance figures, will occur following Judicial Council approval at its January 2022 business meeting,

Reporting Requirements

As a reminder, California Rule of Court (CRC) 10.620(f) requires trial courts to provide notice no later than 15 court days after “[r]eceipt of the annual allocation of the trial court budget from the Judicial Council after enactment of the Budget Act.” Since this email serves as notification of courts' annual allocation for 2021-22, courts must provide notice no later than **Wednesday, July 28, 2021.**

Examples of what can be done to provide notice and comply with the rule include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) Posting this email and the 2021-22 Allocation Display of the attached workbook; or
- (b) Posting the links to the July 9, 2021 Judicial Council reports.

Should you have any questions about these allocations or CRC 10.620(f) compliance, please contact Oksana Tuk, Budget Services Senior Analyst, at TCAAllocations@jud.ca.gov or (916) 643-8027.

Thank you,

Michele Allan, Budget Supervisor
Budget Services | Administrative Division
Judicial Council of California
2850 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95833-3509
916-263-1374 | Michele.Allan@jud.ca.gov | www.courts.ca.gov

Cluster	Court	Resource Assessment Study (RAS) Model Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Need ^{1,2}			FTE Need Multiplied by FTE Allotment Factor, Prior to Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Adjustment					Adjust Base Dollars for Local Cost of Labor; Apply FTE Dollar Factor		Average Salary-Driven Benefits as % of Salary and Average Non Salary-Driven Benefits Per FTE ⁵				Projected Benefits Expenses (Salary-Driven Benefits Based on Adjusted Base)			Estimated OE&E Needed; Excludes funding for operations contracts ⁷	Remove AB 1058 Staff/Family Law Facilitator Costs ⁸	Total WF Funding Need (J + Q + R - S)	Proportion of Total WF Estimated Funding Need
		RAS FTE Need Program 10 (Operations)	RAS FTE Need Program 90 (Administration)	RAS Total FTE Need	RAS FTE Need Multiplied by Average RAS Salary	RAS FTE Need Multiplied by BLS (Avg. Salary * BLS) ³	RAS FTE Need Multiplied by Allotment Factor w/ < 50 FTE & Median Adjustments ³	Court Executive Officer (CEO) Cluster Average Salary ⁴	CEO w/ BLS Adjustment	BLS Factor ⁵	Pre-Benefits Adjusted Base	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits Program 10	Average Actual Non Salary-Driven Benefits per FTE Program 10	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits Program 90	Average Actual Non Salary-Driven Benefits per FTE Program 90	Benefits Needed for RAS FTE Need Program 10	Benefits Needed for RAS FTE Need Program 90	Total Benefit Need Based on RAS FTE Need				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U		
Statewide		15,943	2,631	18,574					\$11,471,251					\$778,434,367	\$135,853,441	\$914,287,807	\$377,798,295	\$48,885,287	\$2,754,156,851	100.00%		

¹Estimated need based on three-year average filings data from 2017-2018 through 2019-20.

² On April 23rd, 2021, WAAC approved the use of an extrapolation method for FY 2019-20 filings that were impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

³ Unadjusted base funding per RAS FTE, based on 2020-21 Schedule 7A; does not include collections staff, Subordinate Judicial Officers, CEO, security, or vacant positions. In January 2014, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee approved a dollar factor adjustment for courts with fewer than 50 FTE.

⁴ 2020-21 data

⁵ BLS Cost of Labor adjustment based on Quarterly Census of Wages & Employment, three-year average from 2017 through 2019. Salaries of Local Government used for comparison based on Public Administration (North American Industrial Classification System, 92) unless proportion of state government workers in total employment exceeds 50% in which case three-year average of local and state salaries for Public Administration is used for comparison.

⁶ From 2020-21 Schedule 7A

⁷ OE&E Based on Cluster Average OE&E / FTE (Cluster 1: S; Clusters 2-4: S) using 2017-18 to 2019-20 data

⁸ 2018-19 data